

FILED

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

APR 24 2006

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE

**A. Instructions**

For purposes of these questions, Rambus Inc. shall be referred to as "Rambus," and Hynix Semiconductor, Inc., Hynix Semiconductor America, Inc., Hynix Semiconductor U.K. Ltd. and Hynix Semiconductor Deutschland GmbH shall be referred to as "Hynix."

The questions below contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

**B. Findings on Rambus's Allegations of Patent Infringement by Hynix**

1. Has Rambus proven that it is more likely than not that Hynix's SDRAM products infringe any of the following claims of Rambus's patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" as to each claim:

- '918 Patent, Claim 24 Yes ("yes" = infringed; "no" = not infringed)
- '916 Patent, Claim 9 Yes
- '916 Patent, Claim 28 Yes

2. Has Rambus proven that it is more likely than not that Hynix's DDR SDRAM products infringe any of the following claims of the Rambus patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" as to each claim:

- '105 Patent, Claim 34 Yes ("yes" = infringed; "no" = not infringed)
- '918 Patent, Claim 24 Yes
- '918 Patent, Claim 33 Yes
- '020 Patent, Claim 32 Yes
- '020 Patent, Claim 36 Yes
- '916 Patent, Claim 9 Yes
- '916 Patent, Claim 28 Yes
- '916 Patent, Claim 40 Yes

**C. Findings on Hynix's Allegations of Invalidity of Rambus's Patents**

3. Has Hynix proven that it is highly probable that any of the following claims of Rambus's patents are invalid because the claims are "anticipated"?

Answer "Yes" or "No" with respect to each claim:

- '918 patent, Claim 24 NO ("yes" = anticipated; "no" = not anticipated)
- '120 ~~'102~~ patent, Claim 33 NO
- '020 patent, Claim 32 NO
- '020 patent, Claim 36 NO
- '916 patent, Claim 9 NO
- '916 patent, Claim 28 NO
- '863 patent, Claim 16 NO

4. Has Hynix proven that it is highly probable that any of the following claims are invalid because the claimed invention would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art?

Answer "Yes" or "No" as to each claim:

- '105 Patent, Claim 34 NO ("yes" = obvious; "no" = not obvious)
- '918 Patent, Claim 24 NO
- '918 Patent, Claim 33 NO
- '120 Patent, Claim 33 NO
- '020 Patent, Claim 36 NO
- '916 Patent, Claim 9 NO
- '916 Patent, Claim 28 NO
- '916 Patent, Claim 40 NO
- '863 Patent, Claim 16 NO

5. Has Hynix proven that it is highly probable that any of the following claims are invalid because the written description does not support the claim (i.e., does not satisfy the written description requirement)?

Answer "Yes" or "No" as to each claim:

'105 Patent, Claim 34 NO ("yes" = invalid because written description requirement not satisfied; "no" = not invalid because written description satisfied)

'918 Patent, Claim 24 NO

'918 Patent, Claim 33 NO

'120 Patent, Claim 33 NO

'020 Patent, Claim 32 NO

'020 Patent, Claim 36 NO

'916 Patent, Claim 9 NO

'916 Patent, Claim 28 NO

'916 Patent, Claim 40 NO

'863 Patent, Claim 16 NO

**D. Findings on Rambus's Claim for Damages for Infringement [If Applicable]**

6. If you have found a claim infringed and have not found that claim invalid, or you have found that either Claim 33 of the '120 patent or Claim 16 of the '863 patent is not invalid, then you are to find the damages which Rambus has proven that it more likely than not suffered as a result of Hynix's infringement. If you have not found a claim infringed and you have found that both Claim 33 of the '120 patent and Claim 16 of the '863 patent are invalid, then you have no further questions to answer, and you should proceed to Section E.

[If applicable] What damages has Rambus proven it more likely than not suffered as a result of infringement by Hynix's SDRAM product?

\$ 30,538,165


[If applicable] What damages has Rambus proven it more likely than not suffered as a result of infringement by Hynix's DDR SDRAM product?

\$ 276,429,107

**E. Checking and Signing of Verdict Form**

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Security Guard that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

DATED: 4/24, 2006

By:   
Presiding Juror