Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STANDING ORDER FOR CIVIL CASES

JUDGE P. CASEY PITTS

(Revised November 13, 2023)

I. **CONFORMITY TO RULES**

Parties and counsel shall comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Civil Local Rules, and the General Orders of the Northern District of California, except as superseded by this Court's standing orders.

II. COMMUNICATION WITH THE COURT

Parties and counsel shall not engage in any ex parte communication with Judge Pitts or his chambers staff by telephone, facsimile, e-mail, or any other means, unless such contact has been authorized in advance. Parties and counsel may contact Judge Pitts's Courtroom Deputy Nicole Coleman at (408) 535-5346 or peperd@cand.uscourts.gov with any inquiries regarding scheduling or any other matter.

Judge Pitts does not review or respond to letters or letter briefs providing case information or seeking relief from the Court, even if the letter is filed on the docket. All requests requiring the Court to take action shall be made either by Stipulation and Proposed Order or by an appropriate motion filed pursuant to the Civil Local Rules.

III. **EMERGENCY APPLICATIONS**

Counsel should call and email Judge Pitts's Courtroom Deputy Nicole Coleman at (408) 535-5346 and peperd@cand.uscourts.gov to notify her if they submit an application for a temporary restraining order, a stipulation that requires a response from the Court within 24 hours, or any other emergency request.

When a party files an application for a temporary restraining order or other emergency relief, the opposing party should not file a response unless instructed to do so by the Court. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Court will almost never grant such an application without requesting a response from the opposing party.

If the party seeking emergency relief does not show that it made every reasonable effort to notify the opposing party and the opposing party's counsel, at the earliest possible time, of its intent to seek emergency relief, the relief almost certainly will not be granted.

IV. INVITATION TO SELF-IDENTIFY PRONOUNS AND HONORIFICS

If they so choose, litigants and lawyers may indicate their pronouns (e.g., she/her, he/him, they/their) and honorifics (e.g., Mr., Ms., Mx., Dr.) by adding the information in the name block or signature line of the pleadings, by filing a letter on the public docket, or by informing the Court at the start of a hearing.

V. **SCHEDULING**

The Court may notify the parties in advance of a setting that it will be conducted via Zoom teleconference rather than in person, but the default is that all settings are held in person.

The parties may jointly request to have a setting by Zoom teleconference rather than in person. Such a joint request must be made at least one week in advance of the setting, and must be prepared and filed in the form of an Administrative Motion under Civil Local Rule 7-11. The Court retains full discretion to grant or deny such a joint request.

Requests to appear by telephone or Zoom teleconference may also be entertained upon a showing of good cause by one party (e.g., to limit burdensome travel expenditures, reduce the costs of litigation for resource-poor litigants, allow mobility-limited attorneys to participate, or increase party access to proceedings). Such requests are more likely to be granted for case management and status conferences than for motion hearings.

Persons granted remote access to court proceedings by Zoom teleconference are strictly prohibited from photographing, including "screen-shots," recording, or rebroadcasting the court proceeding. Pursuant to General Order 58, violation of these prohibitions may result in sanctions, including removal of court-issued media credentials, restricted entry into future hearings, or any other sanctions deemed necessary by the Court.

VI. CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES

The attorney appearing at a case management conference need not be lead counsel but must have full authority to make decisions about any issue that may come up during the conference.

If a defendant files a motion to dismiss that is dispositive of the entire case, the parties can stipulate to move the initial case management conference to the first available date falling no earlier than 21 days after the hearing on that motion. If the motion to dismiss is not dispositive of the entire case, the initial case management conference will not be moved.

Parties must prepare a joint case management statement 14 days prior to the date of any case management or status conference. As Judge Pitts conducts such conferences on Thursday afternoons, the joint statement must be submitted before midnight on the Thursday falling 2 weeks prior to the scheduled conference. In their joint case management statement for the initial case management conference, the parties must propose a full litigation schedule, including a proposed last day to amend pleadings as well as a deadline to complete ADR, regardless of whether they have received a ruling on any motion to dismiss.

As a general rule, counsel should budget no more than 18 months between the initial case management conference and trial. Counsel requesting longer pretrial periods must be prepared to justify that request at the initial case management conference.

Once the Court has entered a case schedule, the parties may not move the dates except by Court order. If the parties seek to move one of these dates, the parties must file a joint statement of no more than three pages proving particularized good cause for the requested change. A trial date typically will be set at the initial case management conference. Once set, the trial date will not be continued absent compelling good cause. Parties hoping to alter deadlines set in an initial Case Management Order must jointly submit a separate Stipulation and Proposed Order.

VII. CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT

A. Amended Pleadings

All amended pleadings shall attach as an exhibit a redlined or highlighted document showing the changes made to the previously filed pleading.

B. Objections to Evidence

Objections to evidence shall be contained within the objecting party's brief and shall not be filed as a separate pleading.

C. Documents Filed on ECF

When filing documents on ECF, each motion, supporting declaration, and exhibit should be filed as a separate text-searchable PDF. A declaration must be filed separately from the motion, and each exhibit to a declaration must be filed separately as an attachment to the declaration. A declaration, along with any exhibits, should generally be filed as one docket entry, with the declaration as the "Main Document" in ECF, and each exhibit filed separately as "Attachments." For example, if the motion is Docket No. 20, and the supporting declaration is Docket No. 21, Exhibit A would be filed as Docket No. 21-1, Exhibit B would be Docket No. 21-2, and so on. When filing motions, declarations, and exhibits, the ECF "Description" of each document should include the name of the document and a brief description of the document.

Where each party relies on the same exhibit, the later-filing party should cite to the earlier-filed exhibit, and should not file a duplicate exhibit. If possible, the parties should meet and confer prior to filing a motion and submit a joint appendix of evidence.

D. Chambers Copies

Chambers copies shall not be submitted unless the Court requests them. The Court may at times specifically order the parties to provide digital chambers copies of motion briefs, including supporting documents, on portable media (e.g., a CD or flash drive). In rare instances, the Court may request paper chambers copies for particularly voluminous filings. If the Court does so, the chambers copies should be double-sided (when possible) and three-hole punched along the left side of the page, and should bear the ECF filing "stamp" (case number, docket number, date, and ECF page number) along the top of the page. All exhibits shall be clearly delineated with labels along the right side. If the filing includes exhibits over two inches thick, the parties shall place the paper chambers copies in a binder. The chambers copies shall be marked "Chambers Copy" and submitted to the Clerk's Office, in an envelope marked with "Judge Pitts," the case number, and "Chambers Copy."

E. Briefing Guidelines

Requests to enlarge page limits will rarely be granted, and must be filed at least 72 hours prior to the filing date. If the request is made by administrative motion rather than stipulation, any opposition must be filed: (a) no later than 12:00pm one business day before the deadline in question; or (b) within the time allowed by Civil Local Rule 7-11, whichever is sooner.

When used, footnotes must appear in no less than 12-point type. Excessive footnotes will be disregarded.

F. Motion Advice

All case citations and factual statements must be completely accurate. A citation to a case, statute, or other authority is counsel's representation to the Court that the authority stands for the proposition asserted and is good law. A quotation of a case or other authority is counsel's representation that the quoted language is complete and present in the authority cited. Counsel must ensure that the use of ellipses or elisions in quotes does not mislead the Court or misrepresent the substance of the holding or other authority. Counsel's representations of facts are subject to the same requirements of completeness and accuracy. Misrepresentations of law or fact, however subtle, may result in sanctions and a referral to the District's Standing Committee on Professional Conduct.

If either party cites to an unpublished case, the Court generally prefers Westlaw citations.

G. Sealed Documents

The Court requires strict compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Parties that submit frivolous motions to seal or otherwise frivolously overbroad motions will be sanctioned. Federal courts are paid for by the public, and the public has the right to inspect court records, subject only to narrow exceptions. When submitting a motion to seal, the filing party must state whether the compelling reasons or good cause standard applies and why. *See Center for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Group, LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1099 (9th Cir. 2016). The filing party must make a specific showing explaining why each document that it seeks to seal may justifiably be sealed and why the proposed redactions are as narrowly tailored as possible, rather than making a blanket statement about the grounds for sealing. Generic and vague references to "competitive harm" are almost

always insufficient justification for sealing.

If a party files a request to seal that is significantly overbroad and/or does not provide adequate reasons for concealing information from the public, the party bears the risk that the Court will simply deny the request in its entirety and place all documents sought to be sealed in the public docket. Each document filed under seal must be highlighted to show the proposed redactions. In the rare situation where a party believes it is appropriate to seal an entire document, the document filed under seal should be labeled to indicate that sealing is sought in full.

For cases where voluminous or multiple administrative motions to seal would be filed if normal procedures were followed, parties (and any non-parties with potentially sealable information) must, upon the completion of briefing, jointly file a new, combined administrative motion to seal for all requests where sealing is unopposed. If any requests to seal are opposed, each party or non-party making an opposed request should file a single combined administrative motion to seal covering all of their opposed requests. If the parties anticipate that this paragraph will apply to a round of briefing, they may indicate in the initial motion to file under seal that a more fulsome and revised motion to seal will be forthcoming after the completion of briefing pursuant to this paragraph of the Standing Order. The later combined motion to seal that is filed should clearly identify the docket numbers of the prior motions to seal that are superseded by the combined motion.

If parties are asked to provide paper chambers copies of documents filed under seal, the chambers copies with confidential materials will be handled like all other chambers copies of materials without special restriction, and will typically be recycled, not shredded. If the parties wish to dispose of documents filed under seal in some other way, they must expressly indicate as much in their sealing motion and make arrangements to pick up the documents upon disposition of the motion.

VIII. CALENDAR DATES

Judge Pitts's civil law and motion calendar is called on Thursdays at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 8 on the 4th Floor of the Robert F. Peckham Courthouse, located at 280 South First Street, San Jose, CA, 95113. Civil case management and status conferences are heard on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Thursdays at 1:00 p.m. in the same courtroom.

Counsel need not request a motion hearing date in advance, and may notice non-discovery motions for any Thursday (excepting holidays) at 10:00 a.m., consistent with the 35-day notice period in Civil Local Rule 7-2(a) or other applicable timeline. Before filing any motion, however, counsel should check Judge Pitts's calendar on the Court's website (https://apps.cand.uscourts.gov/CEO/cfd.aspx?B410) to make sure the desired date is available. Counsel for the moving party should confer with opposing counsel about a mutually convenient hearing date before noticing any motion. The Court may vacate any hearing date and rule on the papers, or may otherwise reset the hearing date as its calendar requires.

No changes to the Court's schedule can be made except by order of the Court. Any motion to continue a motion hearing or case management or status conference must be made no later than 72 hours prior to the scheduled appearance. Any request for an extension of a deadline (other than an extension that the rules allow the parties to arrange between themselves without a court order) must be filed not later than 72 hours prior to the deadline. When any party requests an extension of time, the party must submit a proposed order listing all future deadlines and the proposed extensions. The party must also state how many times the parties have requested extensions, whether the Court has granted those extensions, and whether the Court has stated that no further extensions will be granted.

IX. **CIVIL MOTIONS**

The parties may stipulate to and request Court approval of a briefing schedule that differs from that set forth in the Court's Civil Local Rules. Absent good cause, however, the briefing schedule for any motion shall allow at least 14 days between the final party filing and the hearing date.

A. **Demonstratives and Presentations**

Any demonstratives or audio-visual presentations to be used at a hearing must be exchanged with opposing counsel and submitted to the Court at least 48 hours before the hearing. Parties shall email electronic copies of the demonstratives or presentations to Judge Pitts's Courtroom Deputy Nicole Coleman at pcpcrd@cand.uscourts.gov.

B. Lawyers with Less Experience

If a motion will be argued either by an attorney who has 7 years of experience or less, counsel may notify the Courtroom Deputy of that fact no later than 7 days before the hearing, and the Court will take this into account in deciding whether to vacate the hearing and submit the motion on papers, applying a presumption in favor of holding the hearing. In such a hearing, cocounsel with more than 7 years of experience may offer additional argument for a few minutes at the end of the hearing.

C. Proposed Orders

Proposed orders are not necessary for most substantive motions, such as motions for summary judgment and motions to dismiss. The parties should only file proposed orders in connection with administrative motions, ex parte applications, and motions that ask the Court to order specific relief (e.g., a motion for a preliminary injunction or an application for a temporary restraining order) or to make factual findings (e.g., a motion to approve a class settlement or a motion for attorneys' fees). Proposed orders submitted in connection with motions for injunctive relief should state the specific relief sought. All proposed orders should be filed in PDF form on ECF and sent in Microsoft Word format to pcppo@cand.uscourts.gov.

D. Motions for Summary Judgment

Parties are limited to filing one motion for summary judgment. Any party wishing to exceed this limit must request leave of Court. Unless otherwise ordered, the parties must meet and confer to determine if they will file cross-motions for summary judgment. If so, only four briefs will be allowed: (1) opening brief by the plaintiff side; (2) opening/opposition brief by the defense side; (3) opposition/reply brief by the plaintiff side; and (4) reply brief by the defense side. The parties may agree to reverse the order, and to have the defense side file its opening brief first, without order of the Court.

Where the parties are submitting cross-motions for summary judgment, the first two briefs are limited to 25 pages; the third brief is limited to 20 pages; and the fourth brief is limited to 15 pages. Before the first brief is filed, the parties must submit a Stipulation and Proposed Order setting a briefing schedule for the cross-motions. The fourth brief must be filed at least 21 days

Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

before the hearing date. Joint statements of undisputed facts are not required but are helpful if completely agreed upon. Separate statements of undisputed facts may not be filed.

E. **Daubert Motions**

Motions challenging the reliability of expert testimony pursuant to Daubert v. Merrill Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993), may be noticed for hearing no later than the deadline for hearing dispositive motions. The parties must allow at least twelve weeks between the proposed dispositive and *Daubert* motions hearing deadline and the proposed final pretrial conference. The parties must also allow at least two weeks between the proposed final pretrial conference and the first day of the proposed trial.

Judge Pitts does not issue tentative rulings on upcoming matters. Any matters that are taken under submission shall remain submitted until further order of the Court.

DISCOVERY X.

Discovery in almost all cases will be referred to a magistrate judge. In those cases, the parties must follow the magistrate judge's procedures. In the rare cases where Judge Pitts is overseeing discovery, the following procedures apply. Discovery disputes should be brought to the Court's attention as early as possible. If the parties cannot resolve their discovery dispute after a good faith effort, they shall prepare and file a joint letter of no longer than 5 pages stating the nature and status of their dispute. Both sides must submit proposed orders as well. No exhibits may be submitted with the letter other than any discovery request or response that is the subject of the letter. The letter must be filed as soon as possible, but under no circumstances may it be filed more than 7 days after the applicable discovery cutoff, per Civil Local Rule 37-3.

The side seeking relief from the Court should prepare its portion of the letter first, and then provide that portion to the opposing side so that the opposing side may prepare its response. The party seeking relief from the Court should file the letter. The Court may resolve the dispute on the papers or schedule a hearing. The joint discovery letter process does not apply to discovery disputes with third parties.

Parties requesting a protective order are encouraged to base any proposed order on the model protective orders on the Northern District of California's website

27

28

1

2

3

(https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/forms/model-protective-orders/). When filing a proposed protective order, at the very beginning of their stipulation or motion, parties must indicate whether they have based their proposed order on one of the Northern District's model orders. If they have, they must identify any deviations from the model order by submitting as an exhibit a redlined or highlighted comparison of their proposed order and the model order.

XI. REQUESTS FOR MAGISTRATE JUDGE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES

The Court receives many more requests for magistrate judge settlement conferences than it can accommodate. As a result, with limited exceptions, the Court generally does not refer cases for settlement with a magistrate judge unless the parties have already completed one of the other processes set forth in ADR Local Rule 3-4: Early Neutral Evaluation, Mediation, or Private ADR. Parties who complete one of these processes without reaching a settlement may request referral to a magistrate judge at that time. If the parties believe their case merits an exception to this rule, they should discuss their views in the initial case management statement.

XII. UNREPRESENTED PARTIES

Parties representing themselves may wish to contact the Federal Pro Se Program, a free program that offers limited legal services to pro se litigants. The Federal Pro Se Program has an office in the San Jose Courthouse in Room 2070 on the 2nd Floor. Parties may be seen on a dropin basis or may make appointments by calling the program's staff attorney, Haohao Song, at (408) 297-1480. Additional information regarding the Federal Pro Se Program is available at http://cand.uscourts.gov/helpcentersj.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 13, 2023

logan P. CASEY PITTS

United States District Judge