## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2913

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -3)

On October 2, 2019, the Panel transferred 5 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See F.Supp.3d (J.P.M.L. 2019). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick, III.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Orrick.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of October 2, 2019, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable William H. Orrick, III.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Oct 23, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

## IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2913

## SCHEDULE CTO-3 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
KANSAS			
KS	2	19-02621	USD 333 Concordia v. Juul Labs, Inc.
MARYLAND			
MD	8	19-02981	Montgomery County, Maryland v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al
MICHIGAN EASTERN			
MIE	1	19-12969	Jannuzzi v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al
MISSOURI EASTERN			
MOE	4	<del>19-02772</del>	Beyer et al v. JUUL Labs, Inc. et al Opposed 10/23/19
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	2	19-18800	T.B. et al v. JUUL LABS, INC. et al
NJ	3	19-18840	HORVATH v. JUUL LABS, INC. et al
NEW YORK EASTERN			
NYE	2	19-05662	Three Village Central School District v. Juul Labs, Inc.
NEW YORK NORTHERN			
NYN	1	19-01231	Smith v. JUUL Labs Inc. et al
NEW YORK WESTERN			
NYW	6	19-06751	Rogers v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al