Pages 1 - 15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER

)

IN RE: VOLKSWAGEN "CLEAN DIESEL" MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

No. C 15-MD-2672 CRB

San Francisco, California

Thursday.

December 22, 2016

11:00 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs: LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN

275 Battery Street

29th Floor

San Francisco, California 94111

BY: ELIZABETH CABRASER, ESQ.

For Plaintiff U.S. DOJ:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Environmental & Natural Resources Div.

P.O.Box 7611

Washington, D.C. 20044

BY: JOSHUA H. VAN EATON, ESQ.

BETHANY ENGEL, ESQ.

- appeared telephonically

For Plaintiff

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

State of California: State of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue

Room 11000

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: JUDITH FIORENTINI, ESQ.

- appeared telephonically

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

Official Reporter - US District Court Computerized Transcription By Eclipse APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL For Plaintiff

For Plaintiff OFFICE OF THE ATTORN State of California: State of California

600 W. Broadway

Suite 1800

San Diego, California 92101

BY: JON F. WORM, ESQ.

- appeared telephonically.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION For Plaintiff

Bureau of Consumer Protection FTC: 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Mailstop CC-9528

Washington, D.C. 20580

BY: JONATHAN COHEN, ESQ. MEGAN BARTLEY, ESQ.

- appeared telephonically.

For Defendant SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

Volkswagen: 125 Broad Street

New York, New York 10004

BY: ROBERT GIUFFRA, ESQ.

SHARON L. NELLES, ESQ.

- appeared telephonically

For Defendant ALSTON & BIRD

Porsche: One Atlantic Center

1201 West Peachtree Street

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

BY: CARI K. DAWSON, ESQ. KARA KENNEDY, ESQ.

- appeared telephonically

For Defendant CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON, LLP

2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Bosch:

Washington, D.C. 20006-1801

BY: MATTHEW SLATER

- appeared telephonically

ALSO PRESENT: JOE RICE

Plaintiffs' Steering Committee

1	DECEMBER 22, 2016 11:01 A.M.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	000
4	THE COURT: Okay. You may call the case.
5	THE CLERK: Calling multi district case, No. 15-2672,
6	In Re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" and Marketing, Sales Products
7	and Products Liability Litigation.
8	Counsel, please step forward to the podium and state your
9	appearances. Thereafter, counsel on the phone please state
10	your appearances.
11	MS. CABRASER: Good morning, your Honor. Elizabeth
12	Cabraser, plaintiffs' lead counsel on behalf of the plaintiffs'
13	Steering Committee.
14	THE COURT: Good morning. Welcome back to
15	San Francisco.
16	MS. CABRASER: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: And people on the telephone.
18	MR. VAN EATON: Good morning, your Honor. It's Josh
19	Van Eaton and Beth Engel from the Department of Justice
20	representing the United States.
21	THE COURT: Good morning.
22	MR. COHEN: Good morning, your Honor. This is
23	Jonathan Cohen and Megan Bartley representing the Federal Trade
24	Commission.
25	MS. FIORENTINI: Good morning, your Honor. This is

```
Judith Fiorentini and Jon Worm representing the California Air
 1
     Resources Board and the California Attorney General.
 2
          (Brief pause.)
 3
               THE COURT:
                           Sorry. Do we have Volkswagen on?
 4
                                                               Did
 5
     they identify themselves?
               THE CLERK: They did not.
 6
 7
               THE COURT:
                           No.
               THE CLERK: Do we have the party from Volkswagen on
 8
 9
     the telephone?
10
          (No response.)
11
               MS. DAWSON:
                            I'm sorry, your Honor.
                                                     This is Cari
     Dawson, along with my colleague Karen Kennedy on behalf of the
12
     Porsche defendants.
13
               MR. SLATER: This is Matthew Slater from Cleary
14
15
     Gottlieb for the Bosch defendants.
16
               MR. RICE: Your Honor, this is Joe Rice on behalf of
17
     the plaintiffs' Steering Committee.
               THE COURT: Good morning.
18
          I still want to wait for Volkswagen.
19
20
          (Brief pause.)
21
                          I think Ms. Cabraser has their number.
               THE COURT:
                              I'm checking, your Honor --
22
               MS. CABRASER:
23
               THE COURT:
                          Right.
               MS. CABRASER: -- right now.
24
               THE COURT: I meant that literally, not figuratively.
25
```

```
I don't think I'll comment on anything further...
 1
 2
          (Brief pause.)
               MS. CABRASER: Your Honor, if we could wait just a
 3
              I know Volkswagen counsel are trying to get on the
 4
 5
            I don't know what the problem is.
               THE COURT: Okay. Did we use a particular call-in
 6
              It's not Court Call, right?
 7
     number?
               THE CLERK:
 8
                           No.
 9
               THE COURT: These people are on another line.
                              It's a particular number, and it seems
10
               MS. CABRASER:
11
     to be working for everyone else. I'm sending it out again.
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, we can wait.
12
13
          (Brief pause.)
               MR. GIUFFRA: Your Honor?
14
15
               THE COURT: Yes.
16
               MR. GIUFFRA: Oh, it's Robert Giuffra and Sharon
17
    Nellis from Sullivan and Cromwell for Volkswagen.
          I'm very sorry. We apologize. I think we were dialing
18
     into Court Call. Then we tried another number. I -- I have
19
20
     never been late to court before and certainly not to a
21
     telephonic conference. So, I apologize.
               THE COURT: Yeah. No need to apologize.
                                                         Welcome to
22
23
     the call. All other parties are here, and Ms. Cabraser is here
     in court.
24
          So let me first announce a further settlement and after
25
```

that, I'll invite any party that wishes to address the Court on any other issue.

I'm extremely pleased to report that the plaintiffs'
Steering Committee and Volkswagen, Porsche and Audi defendants
have authorized the Settlement Master, Director Mueller, to
inform me and report publicly that they have reached an
agreement in principle on a class action settlement for
consumer payments and benefits to the owners and lessees of the
approximately 80,000 3-liter cars on the road.

These agreements will address the consumer aspects of the fixes, modifications, and/or buybacks of the 3-liter vehicles and relate to the agreements I announced on Tuesday between the defendants and the United States on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency, the EPA, California Air Resources Board, CARB, in conjunction with the California Attorney General's office.

As you will recall, these agreements or those agreements recognize two different categories or generations of 3-liter vehicles. Here are the terms of the agreement in principle for each three-liter generation.

For Generation I vehicles, which consist of 2009 through 2012 vehicles Touareg and Audi Q7:

One, owners will have the option of a buyback or, if approved by EPA and CARB, an emissions modification. Consumers who leased these vehicles can also cancel their leases and

return the vehicles to VW or can choose to have their vehicles modified, if a modification is approved by the regulators.

The class action settlement will also provide for substantial compensation to consumer class members, including owners and lessees, as well as persons who sold after September 18th of last year.

For Generation II vehicles, this is the second category of vehicles, which consist of the 2013 through 2016 Volkswagen,

Audi and Porsche TDI vehicles, the following terms are applicable:

First, Volkswagen, based on discussions and interactions with the regulators, believe these Generation II vehicles can be made compliant with Government emissions standards to which those vehicles were originally certified. And, of course, it makes environmental sense to provide a reasonable opportunity for such full emissions compliance to be achieved.

If the EPA and CARB approve an emissions compliant fix of these Generation II vehicles, Volkswagen will not be required to buy back vehicles as under the regulatory settlements, this is deemed a vehicle recall only.

At the same time, the class action settlement will provide that those owners receive substantial compensation. If the EPA and CARB do not approve the fix for Generation II models, the owners of those models that cannot be fixed to originally certified emissions standards will be given the option of a

buyback or potentially a fix to a higher emissions standards and the lessees the option to cancel and return the vehicles to Volkswagen.

Under the class action settlement owners and lessees will also receive substantial compensation. If a modification is approved by the regulators, the class action settlement will also provide for substantial compensation to consumer class members, including owners and lessees, and receive substantial compensation as well as the September 18th post 2015 sellers.

The process to determine fix approval for the various

Generation II models will take most of 2017, or perhaps longer,

but it will be finite. Under the class action settlement

consumers will not have to wait that long for compensation, as

half of the consumer compensation will be paid on final

approval of the class settlement by this Court, with the

remainder paid at the time of fix or buyback.

This agreement, as I've articulated it, in principle, which I understand the Federal Trade Commission also supports pending resolution of remaining issues and final Commission approval, must now be developed into more detailed documentation, including a formal settlement agreement, class action notices and a class notice plan. These documents reflecting these agreements are to be filed with the Court, together with a motion for preliminary approval, no later than January 31st, 2017. I anticipate a hearing on preliminary

approval to be set for February 14, 2017.

I am optimistic that the parties will stay on track during this ambitious process and I am scheduling a status conference for January 18th, 2017 at 8:00 a.m. Pacific time for the parties to report on the process.

Of course, the Court's confidentiality order still applies to enable the parties to work through the necessary terms, details and documentation, and remains in place until the motion described above is filed publicly, and that will be accomplished not later than January 31st, 2017.

So now let me turn to Mr. Giuffra of Volkswagen and ask him whether he can give all of us an update as to the progress of the 2-liter settlement.

MR. GIUFFRA: Thank you very much, your Honor.

I want to thank you and, also, the Settlement Master for all your efforts in bringing about this settlement. From my family. In particular, my nine-year-old son. Christmas came early this year.

I'm pleased to report, your Honor, that the 2-liter settlement implementation is going well, particularly given the size of this program. VW has more than 700 people handling calls and administering claims. They've designated a person at each of the 629 dealerships handling buybacks and lease terminations.

We had more than 750,000 [sic] claims registered on the

claims portal. After December 18, VW has extended offers to literally 200,000 customers. More than 104,000 customers have accepted those offers. The aggregate value of those offers is literally \$2 billion.

Appointments with customers are being scheduled daily.

And as of December 18, thousands of buybacks and lease
terminations have been completed and more than 84,000
appointments have been scheduled.

I wanted, your Honor, if possible, to flag one issue for the Court. While the great majority of Volkswagen customers participating in the 2-liter settlement have taken very good care of their vehicles. A handful of owners have brought in vehicles for buyback that have been regrettably deliberately stripped of parts. In fact, at least one owner went so far as to strip the car of almost every removable part, including seats, doors, a radio and even the air bag.

Now, under the settlement agreement there was an allowance made for class members whose vehicles were in accidents or otherwise suffered from harm through no fault of their own.

But, you know, we think that deliberately stripping the vehicles of their parts goes -- goes too far. And, in fact, the buyback announced provided for in the agreement were based on the value of the cars as a whole, including their parts.

So, respectfully, Volkswagen would ask the Court to advise class members that if they wish to receive settlement benefits,

they should not be engaging in deliberate parts stripping, and we would very much appreciate that, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I would echo that. I think -- I think you're absolutely correct, Mr. Giuffra.

The amounts, the whole process was based upon what I would say the average car or at least the class of cars without the necessity of looking at each individual car. Because we're talking about 400,000, 500,000 cars, it would have lengthened this process and complicated it enormously.

And so I think -- I think that not just in the spirit, but clearly the purpose of the agreement was to accept these cars by Volkswagen in the condition that they were -- they were in as they were being driven on the road, and that's -- and not to strip the cars.

And, obviously, if there is any concern in a particular case or it becomes a larger problem, the Court will address it upon motion of the parties. But I think that a word of caution is appropriate at this time.

MR. GIUFFRA: Thank you very much, your Honor. We very much appreciate that.

MR. COHEN: Your Honor, this is Jonathan Cohen for the Federal Trade Commission. If I may just address two things that Volkswagen just said very briefly.

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. COHEN: We are definitely absolutely against a

bad faith behavior by consumers or dealers or companies or anyone, but we want to make sure that consumers are not concerned that eligibility for compensation depends on the vehicle's superficial condition, because it doesn't under the orders and the order language governs.

There is a procedure, of course, to modify the settlement should that become necessary, but we don't believe that that is necessary.

We also are very enthusiastic about the progress that Volkswagen has made to date to effect the settlement. But there have been some bumps in the road and we want the Court to understand that the FTC is working closely with Volkswagen to resolve those issues.

My understanding is that all parties believe that while Volkswagen has accomplished a great deal, there is still more that it can do.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Cabraser, do you want to address any of the issues?

MS. CABRASER: Thank you, your Honor. Elizabeth

Cabraser for the PSC.

We would echo those remarks of counsel. This is a very ambitious program. It involves hundreds of thousands of class members. Most of these class members are participating actively in the program and they have been very patient and reasonable as the program rolls out, and we appreciate that.

We've received communications from many, many class members. We work with them to get them through the program, and we appreciate the patience and good faith that they and Volkswagen are showing to make this work. This is something that needs to work in the real world. Cars are very real. And the process is proceeding.

We appreciate the fact that as increased staffing has become necessary and appropriate from Volkswagen and as changes and improvements have had to be made to the program, they have been made. And it's an ongoing process. It's an iterative process.

We work with Volkswagen, and we work with the FTC, and we work with class members, when they raise issues with us, to make sure this continues to work well.

We know that the process of making offers and actually effecting buybacks is not only continuing, but it is accelerating as the process goes on. And we appreciate that.

The point of the settlement was to be efficient as possible, as we can be with cars in the real world. And that is why the superficial condition of the cars, as your Honor noted, is not determinative of value. We wanted to give all the consumers the benefit of that doubt so that every car had a clean trade value, and we appreciate that class members are participating in the settlement in that spirit. And it is a spirit of settlement. And it is a matter of the parties, both

the defendants and the class members, being fair and reasonable all around.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Well, I, of course, have not seen the details of the settlement and I am looking forward to the filing, but on the other hand, I am well aware of the extraordinary effort that the lawyers for all the parties have put into this, especially in the last several weeks, which has -- which has been -- raised issues that were not present in the first settlement.

So it simply wasn't a question of just doing what was already done in the first settlement. It had to expand and consider issues that were novel and that needed to be negotiated; and that as frequently said, the devil is in the details and the details are important and they are important to bringing about a final resolution of this case.

And so I acknowledge what Mr. Giuffra said. Lawyers have families. Lawyers have other obligations. Lawyers have lives. And they have sort of taken all of that, put it to the side, and worked on this task of resolving this issue because of the serious environmental concerns that were raised by this litigation.

And I want to thank all the lawyers, of course. And none of this, in the Court's opinion, would have been achieved without the extraordinary services of the director, Director

Mueller, and the people who work with him at Wilmer Hale. 1 So I'm very grateful, of course, to them as well. 2 I look forward to reading the proposed settlement and 3 then, again, having a hearing as early as possible to make a 4 5 determination whether preliminary approval is appropriate to be 6 given. So have a holiday that has some relief, but, of course, I 7 realize that while the Court may be on holiday, the parties 8 9 will be -- will have to direct their attention to all of the 10 details of the settlement, which will be -- which will be a task for them. 11 Thank you very much. We are in recess. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. GIUFFRA: Thank you, your Honor. MS. CABRASER: Thank you, your Honor. 14 15 (Proceedings adjourned.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Lletua X. Pard

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR
Thursday, December 22, 2016