I	Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document	246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 1 of 12
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRAN	NCISCO DIVISION
11		
12	IN RE: CHRYSLER-DODGE-JEEP ECODIESEL MARKETING, SALES	No. 3:17-md-02777-EMC
13	PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDER NO. ¹³ : STIPULATION RELATING TO NON-
14	LIABILITT LITIOATION	WAIVER OF PRIVILEGES AND PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGE LOGS
15		The Hon, Edward M. Chen
16		
17	WHEREAS, the Department of Justic	ce, on behalf of the United States Environmental
18	Protection Agency ("United States"), the Plat	intiffs' Steering Committee, on behalf of the
19	consumer and reseller dealer class plaintiffs (("Class Plaintiffs"; together with the United States,
20	"Plaintiffs"), and FCA US LLC, Fiat Chrysle	er Automobiles N.V., V.M. Motori S.p.A., VM North
21	America, Inc., Sergio Marchionne, Robert Bo	osch LLC, and Robert Bosch GmbH (collectively,
22	"Defendants"; jointly, the "Parties") mutually	y seek to reduce the time, expense and other burdens
23	associated with discovery and to better define	e their obligations with respect to information and
24	materials produced during discovery;	
25	WHEREAS, the prosecution and defe	ense of this Action will require many of the Parties to
26	review and to disclose large quantities of info	ormation and documents, including electronically
27	stored information, through the discovery pro-	ocess. As a result, page-by-page preproduction
28	privilege review could impose an undue burd	len on the resources of one or more of the
	1369997.5	[PROPOSED] PTO NO: STIP. RE NON-WAIVER OF PRIV. AND PROD. OF PRIV. LOGS 3:17-MD-02777-EMC

1 Parties; a	nd
--------------	----

WHEREAS, the Parties, having met and conferred, submit this *Stipulation Relating to Non-Waiver of Privileges and Production of Privilege Logs*, and respectfully request that the
Court enter it as an order.

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby STIPULATED AND ORDERED:¹

5 6

I.

PROTECTION OF PRIVILEGES

A. This Order invokes the protections afforded by Rule 502(d) of the Federal Rules of
Evidence. Accordingly, the provisions in Rule 502(b) will not apply to the disclosure of
Discovery Material in this Action. Any Party or Non-Party that complies with this Order will be
deemed to have taken reasonable steps to rectify disclosures of privileged or otherwise protected
Discovery Material.

B. Each Party or Non-Party is entitled to decide the appropriate degree of care to
exercise in reviewing materials to determine if they contain information protected by any
privilege or protection against disclosure (the "Privileged Material"), taking into account the
volume and sensitivity of the materials, the demands of the Action, and the resources that the
Party or Non-Party can make available.

17 C. Except as otherwise provided herein, irrespective of the care that is actually 18 exercised in reviewing materials for Privileged Material, pursuant to Rule 502(d) of the Federal 19 Rules of Evidence, if, in connection with this Action, a Party or Non-Party (the "Disclosing 20 Party") discloses Discovery Material thereafter claimed to be Privileged Material ("Disclosed 21 Privileged Material"), the disclosure of the Disclosed Privileged Material will not constitute or be 22 deemed, either in this Action or any other action, investigation or proceeding, a waiver or 23 forfeiture of any claim of any privilege or protection, including, without limitation, the attorney-24 client privilege, the work product doctrine, or the deliberative process privilege, that the 25 Disclosing Party would otherwise be entitled to assert with respect to the Disclosed Privileged 26 Material and its subject matter. Any disclosure will also not be deemed a waiver or forfeiture as

 ¹ This Order does not supersede prior Pretrial Orders issued in this Action, which remain in effect except to the extent inconsistent with the provisions herein. Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms have the meanings ascribed to them in Pretrial Order No. 10.

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 3 of 12

to any other document or communication, whether concerning the same subject matter or a
 different subject matter. The Parties will not argue, in this forum or any other, that any privilege
 or protection was waived as a result of disclosure in this Action, regardless of the procedures used
 to identify Privileged Material prior to production.

5 D. *Notice of Disclosed Privileged Material.* If a Disclosing Party determines that it 6 has produced Disclosed Privileged Material, the Disclosing Party will, within twenty-one (21) 7 calendar days of making such determination, provide written notice to, as applicable, Class 8 Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, Defendants' Counsel and Government Coordinating Counsel 9 identifying the Disclosed Privileged Material (by date of production and production number or 10 range, if applicable) ("Disclosure Notice"). The Disclosure Notice must include (i) a privilege 11 log with respect to the Disclosed Privileged Material, if such a log is required by Paragraph II of 12 this Order; and (ii) if the Disclosing Party claims that only a portion of a document is Disclosed 13 Privileged Material, a new copy of the document with the Disclosed Privileged Material redacted.

14 Е. Any Party or Non-Party that receives a Disclosure Notice must (a) immediately 15 cease reviewing and using the Disclosed Privileged Material, and (b) within fourteen (14) 16 calendar days of receipt of the Disclosure Notice, (i) return, sequester, or destroy all copies of the 17 Disclosed Privileged Material; and (ii) notify any Party or Non-Party to whom the Receiving 18 Party has provided the Disclosed Privileged Material that he, she, or it must comply with the 19 obligations set forth in this Paragraph, including, upon request of the Disclosing Party, to provide 20 to the Disclosing Party a certification of counsel that all of the Disclosed Privileged Material has been returned, sequestered or destroyed.² Any Party or Non-Party, except for EPA, that receives 21 22 a Disclosure Notice must, upon request of the Disclosing Party, provide to the Disclosing Party a 23 certification of counsel that all of the Disclosed Privileged Material has been returned, 24 sequestered, or destroyed.

25

 ² Copies of Disclosed Privileged Material that have been stored on electronic media that is not reasonably accessible, such as disaster recovery backup media, are adequately sequestered as long as they are not restored. If such data is restored, the Receiving Party must take steps to resequester the restored Disclosed Privileged Material.

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 4 of 12

1 F. Nothing in this Order is intended to create an obligation for a Party to conduct a privilege review of another Party's Discovery Material. However, if a Party identifies Discovery 2 3 Material that it reasonably believes may be Disclosed Privileged Material belonging to another 4 Party or Non-Party, the identifying Party is under a good-faith obligation to notify that other Party 5 or Non-Party. Such notification will not waive the identifying Party's ability to subsequently 6 contest any assertion of privilege or protection with respect to the identified Discovery Material. 7 If the Party or Non-Party to which the Disclosed Privileged Material belongs wishes to assert a 8 claim of privilege or protection, that Party or Non-Party must provide a Disclosure Notice within 9 twenty-one (21) calendar days of receiving such notification. Nothing in this Order overrides any 10 attorney's ethical responsibilities to refrain from examining or disclosing materials that the 11 attorney knows, or reasonably should know, to be Privileged Material and to inform the 12 Disclosing Party that such Privileged Material has been produced.

Contesting a Claim of Privilege or Protection. A Party wishing to contest a claim 13 G. 14 of privilege or protection asserted under Paragraph I.D or Paragraph I.F of this Order (the 15 "Contesting Party") must provide written notice to the Disclosing Party of each such claim it is 16 contesting (the "Contestation Notice"). Any Contestation Notice must expressly refer to this 17 Paragraph of this Order, and must describe the basis for the contestation. The Disclosing Party 18 must, within fourteen (14) calendar days of receiving a Contestation Notice, respond in writing by 19 either: (i) agreeing to withdraw the claim of privilege or other protection; or (ii) stating the 20 reasons for such claim. If the Contesting Party continues to dispute the claim(s) at issue, it must 21 notify the Disclosing Party in writing within fourteen (14) calendar days thereafter. The Parties 22 will attempt to resolve each contestation in good faith by conferring directly (in voice-to-voice 23 dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient). A Contesting Party may proceed to 24 the next stage of the contestation process only if he, she or it has engaged in this meet-and-confer 25 process in a timely manner.

H. If the relevant Parties or Non-Parties cannot resolve a contestation without court
intervention, the Contesting Party may, within fourteen (14) calendar days of impasse, move the
Court for an order withdrawing the designation ("Contestation Motion"). Such Contestation

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 5 of 12

Motion must be filed under seal in accordance with the local rules. The Contesting Party must
 not assert, as a ground for compelling disclosure, the facts or circumstances of the disclosure of
 the Discovery Material in this Action.

I. The Disclosing Party retains the burden, upon contestation, of establishing the
applicability of the claimed privilege or protection and bears the burden of making the Disclosed
Privileged Material available to the Court for *in camera* review.

J. While a Contestation Motion is pending, all Parties and Non-Parties will continue
to follow the procedures described herein, and no Party or Non-Party may use the Disclosed
Privileged Material for any other purpose nor disclose it to any person other than those required
by law to be served with a copy of the sealed Contestation Motion. Nothing herein limits the
right of any Party or Non-Party to petition the Court for an *in camera* review of Disclosed
Privileged Material.

Depositions. If, during a deposition, a Party or Non-Party claims that a document 13 K. 14 being used in the deposition (e.g., marked as an exhibit, shown to the witness, or made the subject 15 of examination) contains Privileged Material, that Party or Non-Party may at his, her or its sole 16 election (a) allow the document to be used during the deposition without waiver of his, her or its 17 claim of privilege or protection; or (b) instruct the witness not to answer questions concerning the 18 parts of the document containing the claimed Privileged Material pending a prompt resolution of 19 any disagreement concerning whether the document constitutes or contains Privileged Material. 20 If the Party or Non-Party allows the examination concerning the document to proceed on a non-21 waiver basis, the Parties and any Non-Parties must sequester all copies of the purportedly 22 privileged or protected document. Immediately following the deposition, the Parties and any 23 Non-Parties will commence the procedure, including the notice and log requirements, outlined in Paragraphs I.D, I.E, I.F and I.G (as applicable), to address the claim of privilege or other 24 25 protection and any related disputes. Until any such disputes are resolved, all Parties and Non-26 Parties who have access to the transcript of such deposition must treat the relevant portion of the 27 transcript as Privileged Material. If the witness declined to answer questions concerning the 28 document on grounds of privilege or other protection, the Parties will cooperate in promptly

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 6 of 12

following the procedures outlined in Paragraphs I.D, I.E, I.F and I.G (as applicable). If the
 document is ultimately determined not to be privileged or subject to protection, the Party or Non Party asserting the claim of privilege or protection will be responsible for ensuring that the
 deposing party is given an opportunity to depose the witness about the document at the earliest
 practicable time.

6 L. The Parties may stipulate without the need for Court approval to narrow or extend
7 the time periods specified in this Order.

M. This Order does not preclude a Party from voluntarily waiving any claims of
privilege or protection. The provisions of Rule 502(a) of the Federal Rules of Evidence apply
when a Party uses privileged or protected information to support a claim or defense.

11

II. <u>PREPARATION OF PRIVILEGE LOG</u>

A. Except as otherwise provided, each Party and Non-Party will provide a log or logs
of documents he, she, or it has withheld from production or produced in redacted form on the
grounds that such documents constitute or contain Privileged Material.

B. Provided that they contain Privileged Material, the following materials
presumptively need not be logged:

17 i. Communications that are: (a) exclusively within a law firm, or exclusively 18 between or among law firms, serving as a Party's outside counsel, as well as their 19 employees and support staff, (b) exclusively within a government law office, or 20 exclusively between or among government law offices, serving as trial counsel in 21 this Action (e.g., the United States Department of Justice), as well as their 22 employees and support staff, or (c) identified in paragraph 2 of Pretrial Order No. 23 8, Protected Counsel Communications (Docket No. 199), provided that liaison 24 counsels' designees are limited to outside counsel; 25 ii. Attorney work product created on or after January 12, 2017, by a Party's outside 26 counsel or by a government law office serving as trial counsel in this Action (e.g.,

the United States Department of Justice), including their respective employees and support staff;

27

	iii. Cor	mmunications between and among a Party's outside counsel, or a government
2	law	v office serving as trial counsel in this Action (e.g., the United States
3	Dej	partment of Justice), and their Experts or Professional Vendors, and attorney
1	WO	rk product created by Experts or Professional Vendors; and
5	iv. Con	mmunications on or after January 12, 2017, between or among a Party and its
6	Cor	unsel.
7	Notwithstanding the	he foregoing, communications and attorney work product claimed to be
8	Privileged Materia	al must be logged if it was sent or distributed to a person other than a Party; his,
9	her, or its Counsel	(including their employees and support staff); his, her, or its Experts; his, her,
0	or its Professional	Vendors; counsel identified in paragraph 2 of Pretrial Order No. 8, Protected
1	Counsel Commun	ications (Docket No. 199), provided that liaison counsels' designees are limited
2	to outside counsel	(including their employees and support staff); or, in the case of the United
3	States, a government law office serving as trial counsel in this Action (including their employees	
4	and support staff).	
5	C. The	e Parties will meet and confer to determine whether it is appropriate—and, if so,
6	to what extent—fo	or a producing Party to de-duplicate privilege log entries and to determine how
7	privileged email st	trings should be logged.
8	D. Not	thing herein prohibits a Party from requesting a privilege log for materials
9	excepted from log	ging pursuant to Paragraph II.B upon a showing of good cause.
0	E. Not	thing herein applies to preexisting privilege logs for prior productions of
1	documents to regu	latory agencies.
2	F. Abl	breviated privilege logs for ESI. With respect to ESI withheld under a claim of
3	privilege, the Parti	ies may provide, in lieu of a privilege log containing all of the information
4	required by Rule 2	26(b)(5)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, an abbreviated log that
5	contains the follov Field	ving information: Contents
6 7	Firstbates	Bates number for the TIFF image of the first page (which may be a placeholder if the file would be produced natively)
7 8	Lastbates	Bates number for the TIFF image of the last page (which may be a placeholder if the file would be produced natively)

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 8 of 12

1	Field	Contents
2	Attachment range	Bates number of the first page of the parent document and the last page of the last attachment.
3	Custodian	The name of the person who had primary control over the location from which the document was collected
4	Author	"author" metadata field, if present; or "sender" for emails
5	Recipients	to, cc, bcc fields from email combined
6	File name	Name of the file, if it's not an email
	Title	Subject line of email; or "title" metadata field, if present
7	Privilege claim	All privileges that the withholding party claims are applicable to the document in question
8		to the document in question

9

The data in the abbreviated log may be extracted from metadata associated with the ESI and 10 produced without manual verification or editing of the information in the log (other than the 11 privilege claim). Upon receipt of the abbreviated privilege log, the receiving party may identify 12 up to 10% of the documents listed on the abbreviated privilege log for manual verification. For 13 each document so identified, the logging party must provide to the receiving party within 30 days 14 a supplemental privilege log that includes all of the information required by Rule 26(b)(5)(A), 15 including a description that will enable the receiving party to assess the claims of privilege or 16 other protection. Following review of the supplemental privilege log, the Parties will meet and 17 confer regarding whether additional information is needed with respect to any of the documents 18 on the abbreviated privilege log or the supplemental privilege log. Nothing herein prohibits the 19 Parties from seeking all of the information they are entitled to receive pursuant to Rule 20 26(b)(5)(A) if that information is necessary to challenge a privilege claim. The Parties agree that 21 claims of privilege with respect to paper documents that are not duplicates of ESI must be made 22 in accordance with the requirements of Rule 26(b)(5)(A).

- 23 24
- 25

26

27

28

G. For those documents produced on or prior to October 31, 2017, the Parties will provide privilege and redaction logs by January 12, 2018. For those documents produced after October 31, 2017, but on or prior to February 16, 2018, the Parties will provide privilege and redaction logs by April 17, 2018. For those documents produced after February 16, 2018, but on or prior to April 6, 2018, the Parties will provide privilege and redaction logs by May 7, 2018.

- 8 -

12.00

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 9 of 12

1	For any documents produced after April 6, 2018, the Parties will provide privilege and redaction	
2	logs within thirty (30) calendar days of the production to which they relate.	
3	H. A Party that redacts Foreign Private Data pursuant to Paragraph 10.1 of PTO 10	
4	may identify such redactions either (a) on a log of documents in accordance with the foregoing	
5	provisions of this Order (but separate from any privilege log), (b) by stamping "Redacted Foreign	
6	Private Data" on the document where the Foreign Private Data was redacted, or (c) by adding a	
7	field to the .DAT file for the relevant document indicating that it contains Foreign Private Data	
8	that was redacted for privacy.	
9	I. Nothing herein precludes a Party from challenging the propriety of any redactions	
10	by another Party concerning Foreign Private Data, and whether such Party has complied with the	
11	redaction identification provisions of Paragraph II.H., above.	
12	J. Governmental Privilege Claims. The Parties agree that the United States'	
13	assertion of any governmental privileges may be made by first identifying documents withheld on	
14	such grounds on its privilege log and, second, by providing a declaration supporting that assertion	
15	within thirty (30) days after service of the privilege log, where such a declaration is required by	
16	applicable law.	
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.	
18	Dated: October 27, 2017 Respectfully submitted,	
19	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP	
20		
21	By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser</u> Elizabeth J. Cabraser	
22	275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	
23	Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008	
24	ecabraser@lchb.com	
25	<i>Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and Chair of the Plaintiffs'</i> <i>Steering Committee</i>	
26		
27		
28		
	1369997.5 - 9 - [PROPOSED] PTO NO: STIP. RE NON-WAIVER 0F PRIV. AND PROD. OF PRIV. LOGS 3:17-MD-02777-EMC	

	Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC	Document 246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 10 of 12
1 2	Dated: October 27, 2017	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2		By:/s/ Leigh P. Rendé
4		Leigh P. Rendé
5		United States Department of Justice Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources
6		Division P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station
7		Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Telephone: (202) 514-1461
8		Facsimile: (202) 514-0097 Leigh.rende@usdoj.gov
9		Government Coordinating Counsel
10	Dated: October 27, 2017	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
11		
12		By: <u>/s/ Robert J. Giuffra, Jr.</u> Robert J. Giuffra, Jr.
13		William B. Monahan Darrell S. Cafasso
14		125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004
15		Telephone: (212) 558-4000 Facsimile: (212) 558-3588
16		giuffrar@sullcrom.com monahanw@sullcrom.com
17		cafassod@sullcrom.com
18		Counsel for Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V., FCA US LLC, V.M. Motori S.p.A.,
19		V.M. North America, Inc., and Sergio Marchionne
20		
21	Dated: October 27, 2017	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
22		By:/s/ Matthew D. Slater
23		Matthew D. Slater Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP
24		2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20006
25		Telephone: (202) 974-1500 Facsimile: (202) 974-1999
26		mslater@cgsh.com
27		Counsel for Robert Bosch, LLC and Robert Bosch GmbH
28		
	1369997.5	- 10 - [PROPOSED] PTO NO: STIP. RE NON-WAIVER OF PRIV. AND PROD. OF PRIV. LOGS 3:17-MD-02777-EMC

	Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 11 of 12
1	ATTESTATION (CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3))
2	In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest the concurrence in the filing of this
3	document has been obtained from the signatories.
4	
5	Dated: October 27, 2017 <u>/s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser</u> Elizabeth J. Cabraser
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 3, 2017
15	STATECO
16	Dated: November 3, 2017 EDWARD VISCHEN
17	EDWARD M. CHEN United States GRANTED
18	The Chen
19	Z Judge Edward M. Chen
20	DISTRICT OF CASE
21	DISTRICT OF
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
28	
_~	1369997.5 - 11 - [PROPOSED] PTO NO: STIP. RE NON-WAIVER OF PRIV. AND PROD. OF PRIV. LOGS 3:17-MD-02777-EMC

	Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 246 Filed 11/03/17 Page 12 of 12
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on October 27, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing
3	was electronically filed and served electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system, which will
4	automatically serve notice to all registered counsel of record.
5	/s/ Elizabeth I. Cabrason
6	<u>/s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser</u> Elizabeth J. Cabraser
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1369997.5 - 12 - [PROPOSED] PTO NO: STIP. RE NON-WAIVER 06 PRIV. AND PROD. OF PRIV. LOGS 07 PRIV. AND PROD. OF PRIV. LOGS 3:17-MD-02777-EMC