1375822.3

[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL NO. <u>15</u> TESTIFYING EXPERT DISC. PROTOCOL MDL NO. 17-MD-2777-EMC

depositions of Experts, in this Action. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any Party from seeking to modify it later for good cause; prior to doing so, however, Counsel for the Parties shall meet and confer among themselves in a good-faith effort to reach agreement as to the appropriate scope of any modifications or revisions to this Order. **GOVERNING LAW** II. Expert discovery shall be governed by the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Local Rules"), except as otherwise provided herein or in any other order in this Action. Unless specifically modified herein, nothing in this Order shall be construed to abrogate, modify, or enlarge the scope of expert discovery permissible under the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure or the Local Rules. This Order does not supersede prior pretrial orders entered in this

Action. To the extent anything contained in prior pretrial orders is inconsistent with the

provisions set forth herein, this Order shall control with respect to Expert discovery.

DISCOVERY RELATING TO EXPERTS III.

A. **Sequence for Expert Reports**

Expert reports related to class certification shall be exchanged in accordance with Pretrial Order No. 12: Stipulated Discovery Schedule.

Expert reports unrelated to class certification shall be served sequentially: first, Plaintiffs shall serve Expert reports; then, Defendants shall serve Expert reports; then, Plaintiffs shall serve any appropriate rebuttal Expert reports. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding a specific schedule for the exchange of Expert reports unrelated to class certification.

В. Non-Discoverability of Certain Expert Related Materials

- i. With respect to Experts who must provide a written report pursuant to Fed.
- R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), the following materials shall not be subject to discovery or production:
- 1. Unless utilized as a basis to form any opinions rendered in this Action, any notes taken by, for, or at the direction of an Expert, the Expert's staff, or others, including consultants, retained to assist the Expert during the course of the Expert's work in connection with the Action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	2. Unless utilized as a basis to form any opinions rendered in this		
2	Action, communications between and among an Expert and that Expert's staff, or others,		
3	including consultants, retained to assist the Expert;		
4	3. Unless utilized as a basis to form any opinions rendered in this		
5	Action, communications between and among Counsel for a Party and Experts retained on behalf		
6	of that Party, those Experts' staff, or others, including consultants, retained to assist such Experts.		
7	4. Drafts of Expert reports or portions thereof.		
8	ii. The Parties are not required to produce or exchange privilege logs		
9	reflecting any of the aforementioned items that are not subject to discovery or production		
10	pursuant to this Order.		
11	C. <u>Expert-Related Materials to be Disclosed</u>		
12	i. An Expert who must provide a written report pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.		
13	26(a)(2)(B) shall disclose the information identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)(i) – (vi) in his		
14	or her Expert report or rebuttal Expert report. Any mathematical calculations or supporting data		
15	disclosed pursuant to this provision shall be provided in native format. The Parties shall meet and		
16	confer regarding disclosure of any mathematical calculations or supporting data that use or rely		
17	on computer software or programs other than retail software.		
18	ii. The Parties shall meet and confer concerning any witnesses providing		
19	expert testimony who are not required to provide a written report pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.		
20	26(a)(2)(C).		
21	iii. Notwithstanding Section III.B, upon request, a Party shall disclose the		
22	information identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4)(C)(i) – (iii).		
23	D. <u>Expert Depositions</u>		
24	i. Except as otherwise provided in this Order, or otherwise agreed by the		
25	Parties, Pretrial Order No: [1]: Stipulation and Order Relating to Deposition Protocol shall govern		
26	the processes and procedures with which the Parties shall comply in connection with the taking of		
27	Expert depositions.		

- 3 -

1	ii. Notice. Except for Experts who are testifying on a topic related to class		
2	certification, a deposition notice for an Expert witness may be served at any time after the close		
3	of fact discovery, provided that it is served sufficiently in advance of the close of expert discovery		
4	so that the deposition takes place prior to the close of expert discovery.		
5	iii. Length of examination. Following exchange of Expert reports, the Parties		
6	shall meet and confer to determine a presumptive length of Expert depositions.		
7	iv. Location of Expert depositions. The Parties shall meet and confer		
8	regarding the location of the depositions of Expert witnesses.		
9	v. Number of depositions. Absent agreement of the Parties or leave of the		
10	Court, no Expert may be deposed more than once in this Action, unless that Expert submits a		
11	rebuttal or sur-rebuttal report, or any substantive amendments or supplements to any reports, after		
12	the date of such deposition.		
13	vi. Costs. The noticing Party shall bear the initial expenses of stenographic		
14	recording and videotaping (as appropriate), and any costs associated with securing appropriate		
15	deposition space. The Parties shall pay for their own copies of transcripts/DVDs of depositions.		
16	Each party shall pay for the fees and costs of its own Experts, including those costs incurred		
17	during the preparation and taking of depositions in this Action.		
18	SO STIPULATED.		
19	Respectfully submitted,		
20	DATED: October 27, 2017 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP		
21			
22	By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser</u> Elizabeth J. Cabraser		
23	275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339		
24	Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008		
25	ecabraser@lchb.com		
26	Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and Chair of the Plaintiffs'		
27	Steering Committee		
28			

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 248 Filed 11/03/17 Page 5 of 7

1	DATED: October 27, 2017	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2		By: <u>/s/ Leigh P. Rendé</u> Leigh P. Rendé
3		United States Department of Justice Environmental Enforcement Section
4		Environment and Natural Resources Division P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station
5		Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 Telephone: (202) 514-1461
6		Facsimile: (202) 514-0097 Leigh.rende@usdoj.gov
7		Government Coordinating Counsel
8		
9	DATED: October 27, 2017	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
10		By:/s/ Robert J. Giuffra, Jr. Robert J. Giuffra, Jr.
11		William B. Monahan Darrell S. Cafasso
12		Sullivan & Cromwell LLP 125 Broad Street
13		New York, New York 10004 Telephone: (212) 558-4000
14		Facsimile: (212) 558-3588 giuffrar@sullcrom.com
15		monahanw@sullcrom.com cafassod@sullcrom.com
16		Counsel for Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V.,
17		FCA US LLC, V.M. Motori S.p.A., V.M. North America, Inc., and Sergio Marchionne.
18		Tronvillation and Sergie Haremenne.
19	DATED: October 27, 2017	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
20		By:/s/ Matthew D. Slater Matthew D. Slater
21		Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
22		Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 974-1500
23		Facsimile: (202) 974-1999 mslater@cgsh.com
24		Counsel for Robert Bosch LLC and Robert Bosch GmbH
25		Counsel for Robert Bosen ELC and Robert Bosen Gmoti
26		
27		
28		[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL NO
	1375822.3	- 5 - TESTIFYING EXPERT DISC. PROTOCOL MDL NO. 17-MD-2777-EMC

TESTIFYING EXPERT DISC. PROTOCOL MDL NO. 17-MD-2777-EMC

Case 3:17-md-02777-EMC Document 248 Filed 11/03/17 Page 6 of 7

1	ATTESTATION (CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3))		
2	In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest the concurrence in the filing of this		
3	document has been obtained from the signatories.		
4			
5	Dated: October 27, 2017	/s/Elizabeth J. Cabraser	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, I	T IS SO ORDERED.	
15	N 1 2 2017	EDWARD M. CHURT	
16	DATED: November 3, 2017	EDWARD M. CHIA	
17 18		United States District IT IS SO UNDE	
19			
20		Judge Edward M. Chen Judge Edward M. Chen DISTRICT OF CHANGE OF CHANGE DISTRICT OF CHANGE OF C	
21			
22		DISTRICT OF	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1375822.3	[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL NO - 6 - TESTIFYING EXPERT DISC. PROTOCOL	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I HEREBY CERTIFY that on October 27, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was electronically filed and served electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system, which will automatically serve notice to all registered counsel of record. /s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser