

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS
2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3 AND THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT COMPOSED OF THREE JUDGES
5 PURSUANT TO SECTION 2284, TITLE 28 UNITED STATES CODE

6
7 RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,

16 Defendants.

Case No. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DB P

THREE-JUDGE COURT

Case No. 01-cv-01351-JST

THREE-JUDGE COURT

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

17
18 The Court denies the motion for reconsideration brought by the proposed Valley Fever
19 intervenors. ECF No. 3254/6566.¹ The motion fails to demonstrate sufficient “new or different
20 facts or circumstances” or that “other grounds exist for the motion.” E.D. Cal. L.R. 230(j)(3).²
21 Movants continue to fail to persuade the Court that intervention as of right is required or that

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25
26 _____
27 ¹ All filings in this Three-Judge Court are included in the individual docket sheets of both *Plata v.*
28 *Newsom*, No. 01-cv-01351-JST (N.D. Cal.), and *Coleman v. Newsom*, No. 2:90-cv-0520 KJM DB
P (E.D. Cal.). The Court cites to the docket number of *Plata* first, then *Coleman*.

² The Court applies the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California. ECF No. 880/2456 at 7.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

permissive intervention should be granted. *See* ECF No. 3253/6564. No further motions for reconsideration will be considered.

IT IS SO ORDERED.³

Dated: April 3, 2020

On behalf of the Court:



JON S. TIGAR
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

³ Judge Tigar issues this order on behalf of the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2284(b)(3).